



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/873,041	06/01/2001	Michael Heuken	03345-P0017A	5097

24126 7590 02/26/2003

ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC
986 BEDFORD STREET
STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619

EXAMINER

SONG, MATTHEW J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1765

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Applicati n No.

09/873,041

Applicant(s)

HEUKEN ET AL.

Examiner

Matthew J Song

Art Unit

1765

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-17 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.
2. Claim 18 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 1 recites, "are adjusted with variation profiles within the range of seconds in such a way that the variation of the process parameters so caused results in a dynamic control of the thermal processes leading to the production of the materials" in the last four lines. The instantly claimed invention does not provide disclosure of the "way" of adjusting variation profiles to lead to the production of materials.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1765

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1 recites the limitation "said heating system T_8 " in line 21-22. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

7. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In line 10-14, applicant states a "a controller that's controls or controls in a closed loop, respectively, the type or the composition of the inflowing process gases and the temperature of the wafer". It is unclear what the controller respectively controls. The controller can control the composition of the inflowing gases or temperature of the wafer; therefore is indefinite.

8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites "particularly of strata on wafers" in lines 2-3. The term "particularly" is indefinite.

9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites "possibly a gas mixing system" in line 9. The term "possibly" is indefinite.

Art Unit: 1765

10. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites, “are adjusted with variation profiles within the range of seconds in such a way that the variation of the process parameters so caused results in a dynamic control of the thermal processes leading to the production of the materials” in the last four lines. The “way” variation profiles are adjusted to result in the production of materials is indefinite because no “way” is defined. Also the claim is unclear because “the process parameters **so caused results** in a dynamic control” is confusing.

11. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites, “the variation of the process parameters so caused results in a dynamic control of the thermal processes leading to the production of the materials” in the last two lines. It is unclear how “dynamic control” differs from generic control.

12. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites, “said reaction chamber, e.g. the gas” in line 18. The use of “e.g.” renders the claim indefinite because the scope of the claim is unclear (MPEP 2173.05 (d)).

13. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

Art Unit: 1765

invention. Claim 1 recites, “a controller that controls or controls in closed loop” in the fifth paragraph. The scope of the claim is unclear because a controller that controls in a closed loop does control.

14. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 2 recites, “for avoiding the formation of addition compounds” in lines 3-4. “Addition compounds” is indefinite because it is unclear what a addition compound would be and no definition of addition compounds is provided.

15. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 4 recites, “required reproducible temperature variations up to 250° C per minute” in line 3. The term “reproducible” is indefinite because

16. Claims 5 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 5 recites, “by controlling the temperature T_4 as a correlate to the temperature T_3 ” in lines 2-3. The term “correlate” is indefinite because how the temperatures correlate is not defined. The temperatures could correlate directly, proportionally or as a multiple.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

18. Claims 1-10 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmitz et al ("MOVPE growth of InGa_N on sapphire using growth initiation cycles") in view of Burmeister (US 3,617,371).

Schmitz et al discloses a Metal organic chemical vapor deposition, MOCVD, for forming an AlGaInN alloy, where a variety of total flow rates and extremely precise temperature control and uniformity across the entire reactor and the substrate by means of a new multicoil heater system are used to achieve a film with excellent photoluminescence uniformity (Abstract). Schmitz et al also discloses an inductive heater brings a susceptor to a maximum temperature of 1600°C and very fast heat up and cooling cycles up to 6°C/sec can be achieved. Schmitz et al also discloses rapid cooling rates are enhanced because of reduced thermal mass susceptor, water cooled reactor chamber with all thermostated reactor walls. Schmitz et al also discloses reagents are separated in two carrier gas flows that combine at the injector and thermal management of the reactor in particular is a very critical parameter. Schmitz et al also discloses the injection zone is kept at a lower temperature to preserve less stable compounds (col 2-3). Schmitz et al also discloses accurate heat transfer calculation are critical because precursor decomposition and formation of deposits are determined by the temperature distribution in the MOCVD reactor.

Art Unit: 1765

Schmitz et al also discloses precise temperature control of a quartz ceiling inside the reactor is employed to keep the inner reactor wall at a suitable elevated temperature to minimize deposits and growth temperatures are adjusted with a precision of 0.1°C. Schmitz et al also discloses total flow rates and the gas flow ratio are used to optimize the growth rate and uniformities while growth rates can be adjusted independently (col 4-5). Schmitz et al also discloses absolute control over the ceiling temperature by employing an in situ monitoring and closed feedback control system and a sensor from 400 to 1900°C with a resolution to 0.1 °C is used and it is possible to monitor the temperature profile of the wafer, satellite and planetary disc (col 9-12). Schmitz et al also discloses a multiwafer planetary reactor with a rotating susceptor and an exhaust (fig 1).

Schmitz et al does not disclose a gas mixing system and a temperature variation of said gas mixing system.

In a method of growing a III-V layer by vapor phase epitaxy, note entire reference, Burmeister teaches a vapor phase reactor includes separately arranged source, mixing 45 and growing chambers which may be selectively heated inductively to eliminate contaminating decomposition of the reactor walls (col 1, ln 1-67). Burmeister also teaches RF heating coils 65 may be varied to concentrate the heating power at selected portion of the length of the walls and the portion adjacent the mixing chamber operates at approximately 800°C and the term approximately is intended to include values within + 10 percent of the stated value. Burmeister also teaches a temperature sensing means 71 may be connected to a thermocouple 69 for giving a temperature indication or for controlling the RF power from source 67 where desired to maintain close control of operating temperature (col 2, ln 1-75). It would have been obvious to a person of

Art Unit: 1765

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Schmitz et al with Burmeister's mixing chamber to eliminate contaminating decomposition of the reactor walls (col 1, ln 25-40).

Referring to claim 2, the combination of Schmitz and Burmeister does not teach controlling the temperature T_1 below the condensation temperature of the gases and by adjustment of the temperature for avoiding the formation of addition compounds. Schmitz et al discloses the injection zone is kept at a lower temperature to preserve less stable compounds (col 2-3). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Schmitz et al and Burmeister by injecting the reactants at a temperature below the condensation temperature to preserve less stable compounds, which would also avoid formation of addition compounds.

Referring to claim 3, the combination of Schmitz et al and Burmeister teach all of the limitations of claim 3, as discussed previously, except control of temperature T_2 as equal to the temperature of T_3 . Schmitz et al teaches the precise control of the quartz ceiling inside the reactor is employed to keep the inner reactor wall at a suitable temperature (700-950°C), which allows to minimize deposits (col 5). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Schmitz et al and Burmeister to have the principle wafer support equal the temperature of the chamber wall to minimize the deposits of the wafer support. Furthermore, temperature is a result effective variable which can be optimized through routine experimentation (MPEP 2144.05).

Referring to claim 4, Schmitz et al discloses an inductive heater brings the susceptor to a maximum temperature of 1600°C and heat-up and cooling cycles up to 6°C/sec (360°C/min) can

Art Unit: 1765

be achieved (col 2-3) and it is necessary to hold temperature constant for good quality epitaxial layers (col 10).

Referring to claim 5, Schmitz et al disclose a sensor with a resolution to 0.1°C is used and it is possible to monitor the temperature profile of the wafer, satellite and planetary disc and adjusting the heater such that the temperature uniformity of the wafer and satellite disc is optimized, this reads on applicant's controlling the temperature of the individual wafer supports, satellites, as a correlate to the temperature T_3 , the planetary disc.

Referring to claim 6, the combination of Schmitz et al and Burmeister teach all of the limitations of claim 6, as discussed previously, except controlling the temperature of T_5 to a value smaller than the value of the temperatures T_4 and T_5 . The temperature of the wafer supports requires a large amount of heat for decomposition of reactant gases and deposition, but the gas outlet does not have this requirement because no deposition is desired at the gas outlet; therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Schmitz et al by heating the gas outlet to a temperature less than T_4 to save energy and reduce operating costs. Also temperature is a result effective variable which can be optimized through routine experimentation (MPEP 2144.05).

Referring to claim 7, Schmitz et al teaches the reagents are separated in two gas flows that combine at the injector and the injection zone is kept at a lower temperature to preserve less stable compounds (col 3).

Referring to claim 8-9, Schmitz et al discloses it is necessary to hold the ceiling, the upper side of the reaction chamber, temperature constant to be sure about the thermal condition of the susceptor surface and wafer, this reads on applicant's correlates to T_3 (col 10). The closed

Art Unit: 1765

feedback control system, this reads on applicant's heating system, provides control over the ceiling temperature.

Referring to claim 10, Schmitz et al discloses total flow rates are used to optimize growth rates and uniformities can be adjusted independently, this reads on applicant's controlling a temperature dependent gas flow variation (col 5).

Referring to claim 12, Schmitz et al discloses controlling a temperature dependent principle carrier gas variation in the reaction chamber (Fig 6).

Referring to claim 13, Schmitz et al discloses controlling temperature GaN/InGaN growth (Fig 17) during substrate cleaning, nitridization, buffer layer growth and film growth, this reads on applicant's controlling temperature dependent interrupts in the production process because production is interrupted between layers and temperature control is maintained.

Referring to claim 14, Schmitz et al discloses substrates of Al_2O_3 , SiC and Si, this reads on applicant's other material resistant to temperature and process gases.

Referring to claim 15, Schmitz et al discloses substrate cleaning and nitridization and growing a buffer layer (col 17), this reads on applicant's surface treatment or covering the surface with other materials or material components.

Referring to claim 16, Schmitz et al discloses growing a buffer layer at 500°C and growing GaN at $1000\text{--}1100^\circ\text{C}$ using ammonia and trimethyl gallium (col 15 and col 17), this reads on applicant's two stage application of materials.

Referring to claim 17, Schmitz et al discloses the injection zone is kept at a lower temperature to preserve less stable compounds, this reads on applicant's temperature controlled injector.

Art Unit: 1765

1. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmitz et al ("MOVPE growth of InGaN on sapphire using growth initiation cycles") in view of Burmeister (US 3,617,371) as applied to claims 1-10 and 12-17 above, and further in view of Takai et al (US 5,402,748).

The combination of Schmitz et al and Burmeister teaches all of the limitations of claim 11, as discussed previously, except additionally controlling a temperature dependent total pressure variation in the reaction chamber.

In a method of growing a semiconductor film, note entire reference, Takai et al teaches a GaAs buffer layer 22 is grown on a Si substrate 21 while supplying TMG and AsH₃ and the supply of TMG is interrupted and the temperature is elevated to about 650°C while controlling the total pressure of AsH₃ (col 8, ln 35-50). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Schmitz et al and Burmeister with Takai et al to control the total pressure to guarantee satisfactory flatness in the surface of a layer on top of the buffer layer (col 8, ln 50-67).

Conclusion

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Frijlink (US 5,108,540) teaches a device 20 for controlling the temperature of wall opposite a susceptor.

Art Unit: 1765

Flemish et al (US 5,256,595) teaches a hot wall reactor with four temperature zones for deposition, mixing, preheating and injection, where gas flows are controlled by a microprocessor (col 2-3).

Molnar (US 6,086,673) teaches exhaust lines are at a sufficiently high temperature to prevent clogging reactor exhaust lines (col 4, ln 55-67).


3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J Song whose telephone number is 703-305-4953. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin L Utech can be reached on 703-308-3868. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Matthew J Song
Examiner
Art Unit 1765

MJS
February 21, 2003


BENJAMIN L. UTECH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700